

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/679,362	10/679,362 10/07/2003		Ming-Hui Wei	CL001062-CON	5605	
25748	7590	08/31/2006		EXAMINER		
CELERA (		ICS NTGOMERY, VICE	SEHARASEYON, JEGATHEESAN			
45 WEST G			THEO, INTELLIGIBLE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
C2-4#20				1647		
ROCKVILL	E, MD	20850		DATE MAILED: 08/31/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
055 - 4.45 - 0	10/679,362	WEI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jegatheesan Seharaseyon, Ph.D	1647	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Ju</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression.</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6 and 12-16 is/are wit  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-11 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 10/7/2003 is/are: a)☑ a Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	accepted or b) objected to by the drawing (s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing (s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: <u>Appendix A</u>	te	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/679,362 Page 2

Art Unit: 1647

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-11, with an election of species of SEQ ID NO: 1 in the reply filed on 6/15/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it would not be an undue burden for the Examiner to search both SEQ ID NO: 1 and SEQ ID NO: 3 as the search for a polynucleotide encoding a protein of SEQ ID NO: 2 would encompass both of the sequences. This is not found persuasive because searching for the nucleotide encoding SEQ ID NO: 2 will only provide the cDNA sequence (SEQ ID NO: 1) and will not provide the genomic sequence of the gene (SEQ ID NO: 3).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL. Therefore, claims 12-16 are withdrawn from further consideration. Claim 1-11 are pending and are examined.

### **Priority**

2. Applicant claims benefit to provisional application 60/257175, filed 12/22/2000. However, this application does not contain a sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 that is 2093 nucleotides long. The SEQ ID NO: 1 disclosed in the provisional application is only 1441 nucleotides long. Therefore, Applicant is entitled to a priority date of 3/14/2001 (filing date of 09/805, 456) with respect to SEQ ID NO: 1, because application 09/805, 456 discloses SEQ ID NO: 1 that is 2093 nucleotides long (identical in size to the instant invention).

#### **Drawings**

3. Drawing filed on 10/7/2003 is acknowledged.

Art Unit: 1647

## Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Objections

5. Claims 1, 10 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 1, 10 and 11 contains subject matter not elected by the Applicant. Claims 1, 10

and 11 need to be rewritten limiting the reference to the nucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 1 and nucleotide sequence that encodes amino sequence of SEQ ID NO: 2. Claim 1 is objected to because Applicant's have not followed the correct format ("SEQ ID NO: X") to describe the various sequences.

In addition, Claim 6 contains subject matter not elected by the Applicant.

Therefore, claim 6 will not be further examined on its merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

6a. Claim 4 is indefinite because the claim recites a recombinant method for producing a polypeptide comprising insertion of the polynucleotide of claim 1(d) into a host cell. Claim 1(d) recite nucleotide sequences that are complementary to nucleotide

**Art Unit: 1647** 

sequences. It is not clear how the polynucleotide complements of claims 1(a-c) will produce the polypeptide disclosed in the instant application, because the complement is not the coding strand. A complement is a sequence of nucleotide bases in one strand of a DNA or RNA molecule that is exactly complementary (adenine-thymine, adenine-uracil, or guanine-cytosine) to that on another single strand.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

#### 7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-5 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility.

The instant claims are directed to nucleotide sequence that encodes SEQ ID NO: 2 and nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 belonging to a human amino acid transporter protein (page 17). These claims are drawn to an invention with no apparent or disclosed patentable utility. The applicant claims that the protein of the invention is expressed in the testis, brain meningiomas, normal brain, head neck and colon based on BLAST hits (Figure 1B). In addition, based on tissue screening panels Applicant is able to show expression in human whole liver (Figure 1B). The only experimental data provided on Figure 1 is the nucleotide sequence information and BLAST hits. It also provides the polypeptide sequence in Figure 2A. There are no RNA or protein blots to

Art Unit: 1647

indicate the expression profile. In addition, the instant application does not disclose the biological role of this protein or its significance. Applicant further asserts that the art has clearly established the commercial importance of members of the transporter family of proteins (page 17). However, Applicant has failed to provide such evidence. In addition, the instant application does not disclose the biological role of this protein or its significance. Novel biological molecules lack well-established utility and must undergo extensive experimentation.

The applicant claims that the human amino acid transporter protein sequence of the instant invention is 476 amino acid long (Figure: 2) and contains structural features characteristic of transporter protein (Figure: 1B, 2A and page 18, see Boll et al. (2003)). This is presumably because of sequence homology between the instant invention (human transporter protein sequence) and other transporter protein. No function has been ascribed to this transporter protein in the specification (page 19). However, Boll et al. suggest that this amino acid transporter has high selectivity to for amino acids with small side chains (alanine, glycine and proline).

There is little doubt that, after complete characterization, this protein will probably be found to have a patentable utility. This further characterization, however, is part of the act of invention and, until it has been undertaken, Applicant's claimed invention is incomplete. The instant situation is directly analogous to that of which was addressed in *Brenner v. Manson*, 148 U.S.P.Q. 689 (Sus. Ct, 1966), in which a novel compound which was structurally analogous to other compounds which were known to possess anticancer activity was alleged to be potentially useful as an antitumor agent in the

Art Unit: 1647

absence of evidence supporting this utility. The court expressed the opinion that all chemical compounds are "useful" to the chemical arts when this term is given its broadest interpretation. However, the court held that this broad interpretation was not the intended definition of "useful" as it appears in 35 U.S.C. 101, which required that an invention must have either an immediate obvious or fully disclosed "real-world" utility. The court held that:

"The basic quid pro quo contemplated by the Constitution and the Congress for granting a patent monopoly is the benefit derived by the public from an invention with substantial utility," "[u]nless and until a process is refined and developed to this point - where specific benefit exists in currently available form - there is insufficient justification for permitting an applicant to engross what may prove to be a broad field," and "a patent is not a hunting license," "[i]t is not a reward for the search, but compensation for its successful conclusion."

The instant claims are drawn to nucleotides, which have a yet undetermined function or biological significance. Applicants have disclosed that they are in possession of polypeptide SEQ ID NO: 2 encoded by nucleotides of SEQ ID NO: 1. In addition, Applicant also assert that the expression in the testis, brain meningiomas, normal brain, head/neck tissue, colon and liver (page: 17, Figure 1B). However, there is no actual and specific significance which can be attributed to said polypeptides and the polynucleotides identified in the specification, except the prophetic recitation of potential uses, which include the use of this transporter protein and the nucleotides in screening assays, diagnosing a disease, raise antibodies, tissue markers, binding assays, etc. (pages: 29-64). Mere expression pattern disclosed fails to indicate what amino acid molecule is being transported or how the transporter is activated. In addition, it does not teach what amino acids are transported in which tissues. Without this information, the

skilled artisan would be unable to activate or inhibit the transporter or even know what physiological conditions this process would mediate. Further, there is no nexus with claimed invention and any disease or disorder. For this reason, the instant invention is incomplete. Since neither the prior art nor the specification provides for the physiological significance of the disclosed and claimed amino acid transporter, there is no immediately obvious patentable use for it.

In addition, the instant specification does not disclose a "real-world" use for said polypeptides and polynucleotides, except the prophetic recitation of potential uses, which include possible biological and therapeutic uses. Also, there are no working examples that demonstrate any specific utility. Thus, the claimed invention is incomplete and, therefore, does not meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101 as being useful. Therefore, since the peptide of the invention is not supported by a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility, then the composition comprising the polypeptide and a carrier also are not supported by a specific and substantial asserted utility or a well established utility.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

8a. Claims 1-5 and 7-11 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Specifically, since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific and

Art Unit: 1647

substantial asserted utility or a well established utility for the reasons set forth above, one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

8b. Claims 5, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification were it enabling for nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 or nucleotide sequence encoding SEQ ID NO: 2 as described in Figure 1A, does not reasonably provide enablement for all possible variants including those that are at least 80% or 90% identical to SEQ ID NO: 1 contemplated by the Applicant. The claims also recite the phrases "a nucleotide sequence" and "a peptide" and thus, are broadly interpreted by the Examiner as reading upon: (i) DNA and protein variants with any number of deletions, substitutions, or additions and (ii) fragments of SEQ ID NOs: 1-2, including sequences only 8 amino acids or 12 nucleic acids in length (see specification page 27 and page 44). The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention as claimed.

The test of enablement is not whether any experimentation is necessary, but whether, if experimentation is necessary, it is undue. See *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d at 737, 8 USPQ2d at 1404. The factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue" include, but are not limited to: (1) the breadth of the claims; (2) the nature of the invention; (3) the state of the prior art; (4) the level of one of ordinary skill; (5) the level

Art Unit: 1647

of predictability in the art; (6) the amount of direction provided by the inventor; (7) the existence of working examples; and (8) the quantity of experimentation needed to make or use the invention based on the content of the disclosure.

The instant claims reads on nucleotide sequence variants including those that are at least 80% or 90% identical to SEQ ID NO: 1. The claims also recite the phrases "a nucleotide sequence" and "a peptide" and thus, are broadly interpreted by the Examiner as reading upon: (i) DNA and protein variants with any number of deletions, substitutions, or additions and (ii) fragments of SEQ ID NOs: 1-3, including sequences only 8 amino acids or 12 nucleic acids in length (see specification page 27 and page 44).

However, other than the nucleic acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 and nucleic acid encoding polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 2, the specification as filed fails to disclose any other nucleic acid sequence recited in the instant claim. The specification does not teach functional or structural characteristics of the polypeptide variants, fragments, and derivatives encompassed by the claims.

Despite knowledge in the art for producing variant polynucleotide that encode polypeptides, the specification fails to provide any guidance regarding the variant polynucleotide sequences encoding the polypeptides by the contemplated methods that retain the function. Furthermore, detailed information regarding the structural and functional requirements of the disclosed protein is lacking. Although it is accepted that the amino acid sequence of a polypeptide determines its structural and functional properties, predicting a protein's structure and function from mere sequence data

Art Unit: 1647

remains an elusive task. The problem of predicting protein structure from sequence data and in turn utilizing predicted structural determinations to ascertain functional aspects of the protein is extremely complex. While it is known that many amino acid substitutions are generally possible in any given protein the positions within the protein's sequence where such amino acid substitutions can be made with a reasonable expectation of success are limited. Certain positions in the sequence are critical to the protein's structure/function relationship, e.g. such as various sites or regions directly involved in binding, activity and in providing the correct three-dimensional spatial orientation of binding and active sites. These or other regions may also be critical determinants of antigenicity. These regions can tolerate only relatively conservative substitutions or no substitutions (see Wells, 1990, Biochemistry 29:8509-8517; Ngo et al., 1994, The Protein Folding Problem and Tertiary Structure Prediction, pp. 492-495). However, Applicant has provided little or no guidance beyond the mere presentation of sequence data to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to determine, without undue experimentation, the positions in the protein which are tolerant to change (e.g. such as by amino acid substitutions or deletions), and the nature and extent of changes that can be made in these positions. Although the specification outlines art-recognized procedures for producing and screening for active variants, this is not adequate guidance as to the nature of active derivatives that may be constructed, but is merely an invitation to the artisan to use the current invention as a starting point for further experimentation. Even if an active or binding site were identified in the specification, they may not be sufficient, as the ordinary artisan would immediately recognize that an

Art Unit: 1647

active or binding site must assume the proper three-dimensional configuration to be active, which conformation is dependent upon surrounding residues; therefore substitution of non-essential residues can often destroy activity. Therefore, predicting which polypeptide, if any, would retain the functions of the protein is well outside the realm of routine experimentation. Further, since no function has been attributed to the claimed protein, the skilled artisan would not know what function to test for. Thus, an undue amount of experimentation would be required to generate the changes/modifications contemplated and yet retain the function of the proteins claimed.

Applicant has not taught how one of skill in the art would use the full scope of polynucleotide sequences encompassed by the invention of claims 5, 10 and 11. The specification as filed does not sufficiently teach one of skill in the art how to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed sequences. The amount of experimentation required to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed sequences would require trial and error experimentation to determine the functional sequences.

Given the breadth of claims 5, 10 and 11 in light of the unpredictability of the art as determined by the lack of working examples and shown by the prior at of record, the level of skill of the artisan, and the lack of guidance provided in the instant specification, it would require undue experimentation for one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the claimed invention.

8c. Claims 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an isolated or cultured cell comprising an

Art Unit: 1647

expression vector, does not reasonably provide enablement for a host cell comprising an expression vector. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The Examiner has interpreted the claims as reading on isolated host cells see claim 4), as well as host cells in the context of a multicellular, transgenic organism and host cells intended for gene therapy. The specification of the instant application teaches that amino acid transporter gene products (SEQ ID NO: 1) can be expressed in transgenic animals and any technique known in the art may be used to introduce a amino acid transporter transgene into animals to produce the founder lines of transgenic animals (pages 62-64). However, there are no methods or working examples disclosed in the instant application whereby a multicellular animal with the incorporated amino acid transporter gene of SEQ ID NO: 1 is demonstrated to express the amino acid transporter peptide of the instant invention. There are also no methods or working examples in the specification indicating that a multicellular animal has amino acid transporter "knocked out". The unpredictability of the art is very high with regards to making transgenic animals. For example, Wang et al. (Nuc. Acids Res. 27: 4609-4618, 1999; pg 4617) surveyed gene expression in transgenic animals and found in each experimental animal with a single "knock-in" gene, multiple changes in genes and protein products, often many of which were unrelated to the original gene. Likewise, Kaufman et al (Blood 94: 3178-3184, 1999) found transgene expression levels in their transfected animals varied from "full" (9 %) to "intermediate" to "none" due to factors

Art Unit: 1647

such as "vector poisoning" and spontaneous structural rearrangements (pg 3180, col 1, 2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph; pg 3182-3183). Additionally, for example, the specification discloses that two possible techniques used to introduce an amino acid transporter transgene into animals include pronuclear microinjection and gene targeting in embryonic stem cells. However, the literature teaches that the production of transgenic animals by microinjection of embryos suffers from a number of limitations, such as the extremely low frequency of integration events and the random integration of the transgene into the genome which may disrupt or interfere with critical endogenous gene expression (Wigley et al. Reprod Fert Dev 6: 585-588, 1994). The inclusion of sequences that allow for homologous recombination between the transgenic vector and the host cell's genome does not overcome these problems, as homologous recombination events are even rarer than random events. Therefore, in view of the extremely low frequency of both targeted and non-targeted homologous recombination events in microinjected embryos, it would have required undue experimentation for the skilled artisan to have made any and all transgenic non-human animals according to the instant invention. Furthermore, regarding gene targeting in embryonic stem cells, the specification does not provide guidance for identifying and isolating embryonic stem cells or for identifying other embryonal cells which are capable of contributing to the germline of any animal. At the time of filing, Campbell et al. teaches that, "in species other than the mouse the isolation of ES cells has proved more difficult. There are reports of ES-like cell lines in a number of species... However, as yet there are not reports of any cell lines which contribute to the germ line in any species other than mouse" (Campbell et al.

Art Unit: 1647

Theriology 47(1): 63-72, 1997; see pg 65, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph). Thus, based on the art recognized unpredictability of isolating and using embryonic stem cells or other embryonal cells from animals other than mice to produce transgenic animals, and in view of the lack of guidance provided by the specification for identifying and isolating embryonal cells which can contribute to the germ line of any non-human mammal other than the mouse, such as dogs or cows, the skilled artisan would not have had a reasonable expectation of success in generating any and all non-human transgenic animals using ES cell technology.

The specification also discloses "the nucleic acid molecules also provide vectors for gene therapy in patients containing cells that are aberrant in transporter gene expression. Thus, recombinant cells, which include the patient's cells that have been engineered ex vivo and returned to the patient, are introduced into an individual where the cells produce the desired transporter protein to treat the individual" (page 52). However, the specification does not teach any methods or working examples that indicate an amino acid transporter nucleic acid is introduced and expressed in a cell for therapeutic purposes. The disclosure in the specification is merely an invitation to the artisan to use the current invention as a starting point for further experimentation. For example, the specification does not teach what type of vector would introduce the amino acid transporter nucleic acid into the cell or in what quantity and duration. Relevant literature teaches that since 1990, about 3500 patients have been treated via gene therapy and although some evidence of gene transfer has been seen, it has generally been inadequate for a meaningful clinical response (Phillips, A., J Pharm Pharmacology)

Art Unit: 1647

53: 1169-1174, 2001; abstract). Additionally, the major challenge to gene therapy is to deliver DNA to the target tissues and to transport it to the cell nucleus to enable the required protein to be expressed (Phillips, A.; pg 1170, ¶ 1). Phillips also states that the problem with gene therapy is two-fold: 1) a system must designed to deliver DNA to a specific target and to prevent degradation within the body, and 2) an expression system must be built into the DNA construct to allow the target cell to express the protein at therapeutic levels for the desired length of time (pg 1170, ¶ 1). Therefore, undue experimentation would be required of the skilled artisan to introduce and express an amino acid transporter nucleic acid into the cell of an organism. Additionally, gene therapy is unpredictable and complex wherein one skilled in the art may not necessarily be able to introduce and express an amino acid transporter nucleic acid in the cell of an organism or be able to produce an amino acid transporter protein in that cell.

Due to the large quantity of experimentation necessary to generate a transgenic animal expressing the amino acid transporter protein and to introduce and express an amino acid transporter nucleic acid in a cell of an organism for therapy, the lack of direction/guidance presented in the specification regarding how to introduce an amino acid transporter nucleic acid in the cell of an organism to be able produce that amino acid transporter, the absence of working examples directed to same, the complex nature of the invention, the state of the prior art which establishes the unpredictability of making transgenic animals and the unpredictability of transferring genes into an organism's cells, and the breadth of the claims which fail to recite any cell type limitations, undue experimentation would be required of the skilled artisan to make

Art Unit: 1647

and/or use the claimed invention in its full scope. (Please note that this issue could be overcome by amending the claims to recite, for example, "An isolated host cell...").

8d. Claims 5, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. *This is a written description rejection*.

The specification discloses the nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 (Figure: 1A) and nucleotide sequence encoding SEQ ID NO: 2. This meets the written description provisions of 35 USC 112, first paragraph. However, the specification does not disclose all possible variants all possible variants including those that are at least 80% or 90% identical to SEQ ID NO: 1 contemplated by the Applicant. The claims also recite the phrases "a nucleotide sequence" and "a peptide" and thus, are broadly interpreted by the Examiner as reading upon: (i) DNA and protein variants with any number of deletions, substitutions, or additions and (ii) fragments of SEQ ID NOs: 1-2, including sequences only 8 amino acids or 12 nucleic acids in length (see specification page 27 and page 44). The claims as written, however, encompass variant sequences which were not originally contemplated and fail to meet the written description provision of 35 USC 112, first paragraph because the written description is not commensurate in scope with the recitation of claims 5, 10 and 11. The specification does not provide written description to support the genus encompassed by the instant claims.

Art Unit: 1647

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar, 19 USPQ2d 1111, makes clear that "applicant must convey with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, he or she was in possession of the invention. The invention is, for purposes of the 'written description' inquiry, whatever is now claimed." (See page 1117.) The specification does not "clearly allow persons of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that [he or she] invented what is claimed" (See Vas-Cath at page 1116).

With the exception of isolated nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 (Figure: 1A) and nucleotide sequence encoding SEQ ID NO: 2, the skilled artisan cannot envision all the detailed chemical structure of the claimed polynucleotide sequences of the variants regardless of the complexity or simplicity of the method of isolation.

Adequate written description requires more than a mere statement that it is part of the invention and reference to a potential method for isolating it. The polypeptide itself is required. See *Fiers v. Revel*, 25 USPQ2d 1601, 1606 (CAFC 1993) and *Amgen Inc. V. Chugai Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd.*, 18 USPQ2d 1016. One cannot describe what one has not conceived. See *Fiddes v. Baird*, 30 USPQ2d 1481, 1483. In *Fiddes v. Baird*, claims directed to mammalian FGF's were found unpatentable due to lack of written description for the broad class.

Therefore, only the isolated nucleotide sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 (Figure: 1A) and nucleotide sequence encoding SEQ ID NO: 2 but not the full breadth of the claims meets the written description provision of 35 USC 112, first paragraph. The species specifically disclosed are not representative of the genus because the genus is highly variant. As a result, it does not appear that the inventors were in possession of various polynucleotide sequences set forth in claims 5, 10 and 11.

Applicant is reminded that *Vas-Cath* makes clear that the written description provision of 35 USC 112 is severable from its enablement provision. (See page 1115.) Applicants are directed to the Revised Interim Guidelines for the Examination of Patent

Art Unit: 1647

Applications Under the 35 U.S.C. 112, ¶ 1 "Written Description" Requirement, Federal Register, Vol. 64, No. 244, pages 71427-71440, Tuesday December 21, 1999.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

9a. Claims 5, 10 and 11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tang et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6, 743, 619).

Claims are drawn to isolated nucleic acids. The claims also recite the phrases "a nucleotide sequence" and "a peptide" and thus, are broadly interpreted by the Examiner as reading upon: (i) DNA and protein variants with any number of deletions, substitutions, or additions and (ii) fragments of SEQ ID NOs: 1-2, including sequences only 12 nucleic acids or 8 amino acids in length (see specification page 44 and page 27).

Tang et al. discloses nucleic acid sequence (SEQ ID NO: 9) that has an overall identity of 71.6% to SEQ ID NO: 1 of the instant invention. Further, it has greater than 99.9% identity to nucleotides 103-1602 of SEQ ID NO: 1 of the instant invention (see Appendix A1-2). It is also noted that SEQ ID NO: 1 is 2093 nucleotides long. Thus, the reference anticipates the various variants and fragments of the instant invention.

Art Unit: 1647

Therefore, claims 5, 10 and 11 are rejected as being anticipated by Tang et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6, 743, 619).

#### Conclusion

10. No claims are allowed.

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jegatheesan Seharaseyon, Ph.D whose telephone number is 571-272-0892. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Art Unit: 1647

Page 20 Application/Control Number: 10/679,362

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JS Art Unit 1647, August 17, 2006 gezatheen Sch Sugu-

Appendix Al Applicant wpy

```
1722 AGACACGCAGAAGTGCTACTAGTGACAGGGCTGCCATCGCTCACCTGTACCTATTTACAC 1781
Qy
          1682 AGACACGCAGAAGTGCTACTAGTGACAGGGCTGCCATCGCTCACCTGTACCTATTTACAC 1741
Db
      Qν
          Db
      Q٧
          Db
      1902 CCCCCATCTCGCTCTGTGCCCCT 1924
Qv
                    1 1 11 1
          111111 113
      1862 CCCCACCTCATGTTTTCACCTT 1884
RESULT 2
US-09-774-528-9
; Sequence 9, Application US/09774528
 Patent No. 6743619
 GENERAL INFORMATION:
 APPLICANT: Tang, Y. Tom
APPLICANT: Zhou, Ping
  APPLICANT:
          Goodrich, Ryle
  APPLICANT:
          Liu, Chenghua
  APPLICANT:
          Asundi, Vinod
  APPLICANT:
          Ren. Feivan
  APPLICANT:
          Zhang, Jie
  APPLICANT:
          Zhao, Qing A
          Yang, Yonghong
  APPLICANT:
  APPLICANT:
          Xue, Aidong J.
  APPLICANT:
          Wehrman, Tom
  APPLICANT:
          Wang, Jian-Rui
  APPLICANT: Wang, Dunrui
  APPLICANT: Drmanac, Radoje T.
  TITLE OF INVENTION: No. 6743619el Nucleic Acids and TITLE OF INVENTION: Polypeptides
  FILE REFERENCE: 802
  CURRENT APPLICATION NUMBER: US/09/774,528
 CURRENT FILING DATE: 2001-01-30
NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 441
SOFTWARE: pt_FL_genes Version 2.0
SEQ ID NO 9
  LENGTH: 1597
   TYPE: DNA
  ORGANISM: Homo sapiens
  FEATURE:
  NAME/KEY: CDS
   LOCATION: (103) .. (1533)
US-09-774-528-9
                   71.6%; Score 1498.4; DB 3; Length 1597;
 Query Match
 Best Local Similarity 99.9%; Pred. No. 0;
 Matches 1499; Conservative
                       0; Mismatches
                                     1; Indels
                                                0; Gaps
Qv
       103 CTGCCATGTCCACGCAGAGACTTCGGAATGAAGACTACCACGACTACAGCTCCACGGACG 162
          98 CTGCCATGTCCACGCAGAGACTTCGGAATGAAGACTACCACGACTACAGCTCCACGGACG 157
       163 TGAGCCCTGAGGAGAGCCCGTCGGAAGGCCTCAACAACCTCTCCCCCGGGCTCCTACC 222
Qν
          Db
       158 TGAGCCCTGAGGAGACCCGTCGGAAGGCCTCAACAACCTCTCCTCCCCGGGCTCCTACC 217
       223 AGCGCTTTGGTCAAAGCAATAGCACAACATGGTTCCAGACCTTGATCCACCTGTTAAAAG 282
          Db
       218 AGCGCTTTGGTCAAAGCAATAGCACATGGTTCCAGACCTTGATCCACCTGTTAAAAG 277
       283 GCAACATTGGCACAGGACTCCTGGGGACTCCTCTGGCGGTGAAAAATGCAGGCATCGTGA 342
Qy
          Db
          GCAACATTGGCACAGGACTCCTGGGACTCCCTCTGGCGGTGAAAAATGCAGGCATCGTGA 337
          TGGGTCCCATCAGCCTGCTGATCATAGGCATCGTGGCCGTGCACTGCATGGGTATCCTGG 402
Qν
          TGGGTCCCATCAGCCTGCTGATCATAGGCATCGTGGCCGTGCACTGCATGGGTATCCTGG 397
Db
       403 TGAAATGTGCTCACCACTTCTGCCGCAGGCTGAATAAATCCTTTGTGGATTATGGTGATA 462
Qy
          398 TGAAATGTGCTCACCACTTCTGCCGCAGGCTGAATAAATCCTTTGTGGATTATGGTGATA 457
          CTGTGATGTATGGACTAGAATCCAGCCCTGCTCCTGGCTCCGGAACCACGCACACTGGG 522
          .....
          CTGTGATGTATGGACTAGAATCCAGCCCTGCTCCTGGCTCCGGAACCACGCACACTGGG 517
       523 GAAGACGTGTTGTGGACTTCTTCCTGATTGTCACCCAGCTGGGATTCTGCTGTGTCTATT 582
Qy
          518 GAAGACGTGTTGTGGACTTCTTCCTGATTGTCACCCAGCTGGGATTCTGCTGTGTCTATT 577
       583 TTGTGTTTCTGGCTGACAACTTTAAACAGGTGATAGAAGCGGCCAATGGGACCACCAATA 642
```

Appendix AZ Applicab wpy

```
578 TTGTGTTTCTGGCTGACAACTTTAAACAGGTGATAGAAGCGGCCAATGGGACCACCAATA 637
Db
Qy
      643 ACTGCCACAACAATGAGACGGTGATTCTGACGCCTACCATGGACTCGCGACTCTACATGC 702
         638 ACTGCCACAACAATGAGACGGTGATTCTGACGCCTACCATGGACTCGCGACTCTACATGC 697
Db
         TCTCCTTCCTGCCCTTCCTGGTGCTGCTTGTTTCATCAGGAACCTCCGAGCCCTGTCCA 762
Qy
         Db
         TCTCCTTCCTGCCCTTCCTGGTGCTGCTGGTTTTCATCAGGAACCTCCGAGCCCTGTCCA 757
         TCTTCTCCCTGTTGGCCAACATCACTATGCTGGTCAGCTTGGTCATGATCTACCAGTTCA 822
Q٧
         TCTTCTCCCTGTTGGCCAACATCACCATGCTGGTCAGCTTGGTCATGATCTACCAGTTCA 817
         TTGTTCAGAGGATCCCAGACCCCAGCCACCTCCCCTTGGTGGCCCCTTGGAAGACCTACC 882
Qy
         TTGTTCAGAGGATCCCAGACCCCAGCCACCTCCCCTTGGTGGCCCCTTGGAAGACCTACC 877
         CTCTCTTCTTTGGCACAGCGATTTTTTCATTTGAAGGCATTGGAATGGTTCTGCCCCTGG 942
         CTCTCTTCTTTGGCACAGCGATTTTTTCATTTGAAGGCATTGGAATGGTTCTGCCCCTGG 937
Db
      943 AAAACAAAATGAAGGATCCTCGGAAGTTCCCACTCATCCTGTACCTGGGCATGGTCATCG 1002
         938 AAAACAAAATGAAGGATCCTCGGAAGTTCCCACTCATCCTGTACCTGGGCATGGTCATCG 997
Db
     1003 TCACCATCCTCTACATCAGCCTGGGGTGTCTGGGGTACCTGCAATTTGGAGCTAATATCC 1062
Qy
         998 TCACCATCCTCTACATCAGCCTGGGGTGTCTGGGGTACCTGCAATTTGGAGCTAATATCC 1057
     Qy
         1123 ACTCCATCGGGATCTTTTTCACCTACGCACTCCAGTTCTACGTCCCGGCTGAGATCATCA 1182
O٧
         ACTCCATCGGGATCTTTTCACCTACGCACTCCAGTTCTACGTCCCGGCTGAGATCATCA 1177
         TCCCCTTCTTTGTGTCCCGAGCGCCCGAGCACTGTGAGTTAGTGGTGGACCTGTTTGTGC 1242
         TCCCCTTCTTTGTGTCCCGAGCGCCCGAGCACTGTGAGTTAGTGGTGGACCTGTTTGTGC 1237
Db
         GCACAGTGCTGGTCTGCCTGACATGCATCTTGGCCATCCTCATCCCCCGCCTGGACCTGG 1302
         1238 GCACAGTGCTGGTCTGCCTGACATGCATCTTGGCCATCCTCATCCCCGGCCTGGACCTGG 1297
Db
         TCATCTCCCTGGTGGGCTCCGTGAGCAGCAGCGCCCTGGCCCTCATCATCCCACCGCTCC 1362
         1298 TCATCTCCCTGGTGGGCTCCGTGAGCAGCAGCGCCCTGGCCCTCATCATCCCACCGCTCC 1357
     1363 TGGAGGTCACCACCTTCTACTCAGAGGGCATGAGCCCCCTCACCATCTTTAAGGACGCCC 1422
Qv
         TGGAGGTCACCACCTTCTACTCAGAGGGCATGAGCCCCCTCACCATCTTTAAGGACGCCC 1417
Dh
         TGATCAGCATCCTGGGCTTCGTGGGCTTTGTGGTGGGGACCTATGAGGCTCTCTATGAGC 1482
     1423
OV
         TGATCAGCATCCTGGGCTTCGTGGGCTTTGTGGTGGGGACCTATGAGGCTCTCTATGAGC 1477
         TGATCCAGCCAAGCAATGCTCCCATCTTCATCAATTCCACCTGTGCCTTCATATAGGGAT 1542
         TGATCCAGCCAAGCAATGCTCCCATCTTCATCAATTCCACCTGTGCCTTCATATAGGGAT 1537
     1543 CTGGGTTCGTCTCTGCAGCTGCCTACCCCTGCCCCATGTGTCCCCCGTTACCTGTCCTCA 1602
Qy
         1538 CTGGGTTCGTCTCTGCAGCTGCCTACCCCTGCCCCATGTGTCCCCCGTTACCTGTCCTCA 1597
Db
```

```
RESULT 3
US-10-120-988-9
 Sequence 9, Application US/10120988 Patent No. 6919193
  GENERAL INFORMATION:
   APPLICANT: Tang, Y. Tom
   APPLICANT: Goodrich, Rvle
   APPLICANT:
                Liu, Chenghua
   APPLICANT:
                Ren, Feiyan
   APPLICANT:
                Wang, Dunrui
   APPLICANT: Drmanac, Radoje T.
TITLE OF INVENTION: No. 6919193el Nucleic Acids and
TITLE OF INVENTION: Polypeptides
   FILE REFERENCE: 802CON
   CURRENT APPLICATION NUMBER: US/10/120,988
   CURRENT FILING DATE: 2002-04-11
   PRIOR APPLICATION NUMBER: 09/774,528
   PRIOR FILING DATE: 2001-01-30
   NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 441
   SOFTWARE: pt_FL_genes Version 2.0
  SEO ID NO 9
    LENGTH: 1597
```

ORGANISM: Homo sapiens